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We perform first-principles path integral Monte Carlo (PIMC) and density functional theory molecular dynamics (DFT-MD) calculations to explore warm dense matter states of LiF. Our simulations cover a wide density-temperature range of $2.08 - 15.70 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ and $10^4 - 10^9 \text{ K}$. Since PIMC and DFT-MD accurately treat effects of atomic shell structure, we find a pronounced compression maximum and a shoulder on the principal Hugoniot curve attributed to K-shell and L-shell ionization. The results provide a benchmark for widely used EOS models, such as SESAME, LEOS, and Purgatorio. In addition, we compute pair-correlation functions that reveal an evolving plasma structure and ionization process that is driven by thermal and pressure ionization. Finally, we compute electronic density of states of liquid LiF from DFT-MD simulations and find that the electronic gap can remain open with increasing density and temperature to at least $15.7 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Progress in our understanding of warm dense matter (WDM) relevant to fusion energy and astrophysical phenomena relies on the development of accurate techniques to determine the equation of state (EOS) of materials across wide density-temperature regimes. The EOS provides well-defined thermodynamic states that can be measured experimentally in dynamic shock experiments and further used for hydrodynamic modeling of experiments. The state of a shock in dynamic compression experiments is often measured with impedance matching techniques via an optically transparent interferometer window. While there are several materials used for shock windows (quartz, diamond, MgO, etc), LiF is frequently used because it has several favorable optical and structural properties under compression\textsuperscript{1–3}.

Numerous shock experiments\textsuperscript{11–33} have been performed to characterize the EOS, optical, and mechanical properties of LiF in order to optimize its use as an interferometer window. The shock Hugoniot curve has been measured up to 14 Mbar\textsuperscript{12}. Experimental data has indicated that the large LiF optical gap ($\sim 12 \text{ eV}$) decreases with compression to 800 GPa, and, upon extrapolation, closes above 4000 GPa\textsuperscript{27}. In contrast, recent first-principles simulations indicate that the optical gap should increase with density to at least 500 GPa\textsuperscript{34,35}. Despite this discrepancy, there is agreement between experiment and theory that the refractive index increases linearly with density up to 800 GPa. In addition, the EOS of LiF has been measured in diamond anvil experiments\textsuperscript{36–40}. From these investigations, it is known that LiF has a high melting temperature ($\sim 3000 \text{ K}$ at 1 Mbar) and remains in the B1 structural phase up to at least 1 Mbar, which makes LiF an excellent window material in shock wave experiments. Additionally, ultrasonic measurements\textsuperscript{41–43} have been used to measure elastic moduli.

Many theoretical investigations have also aimed to...

FIG. 1: (a) Comparison of PIMC/DFT-MD shock Hugoniot curves with SESAME (7271)\textsuperscript{4,5}, LEOS (2240)\textsuperscript{6–8}, and Purgatorio (Lynx 2240)\textsuperscript{9} models, as well as previous DFT-MD\textsuperscript{10} and experiments\textsuperscript{11,12} in P-$\rho$ space. The PIMC/DFT-MD Hugoniot curves are plotted for four initial densities, corresponding to 1–1.3-fold of ambient density. PIMC/DFT-MD and Purgatorio treat the quantum-mechanical shell structure of the ions and, thus, reveal a pronounced compression maximum and a shoulder due to K-shell and L-shell ionization effects. SESAME and LEOS do not treat shell effects and, thus, predict the overall behavior without shell effects. Plots (b) and (c) show a zoom in of the regions near the experimental data and the compression maximum, respectively.
FIG. 2: Temperature-pressure conditions for the PIMC and DFT-MD calculations along four isochores corresponding to the densities of 2.082, 3.651, 7.582, and 15.701 g cm\(^{-3}\). The blue, dash-dotted line shows the Hugoniot curve for an initial density of \(\rho_0 = 2.635\) g cm\(^{-3}\).

understand the EOS\(^{10,44-46}\), electronic\(^{46,47}\) and elastic\(^{48-50}\) thermodynamic\(^{45,51-53}\) transport\(^{54}\), and optical\(^{10,34,35,46,55}\) properties of LiF. Most of these simulations focus on relatively low-pressure and low-temperature regimes to help constrain the phase, melt, and optical properties that are important for shock window experiments. The highest temperature and pressure simulations at which LiF has been studied so far, using density functional theory molecular dynamics (DFT-MD) methods, were performed by Clérouin et al.\(^{10}\), which predicted the EOS and shock Hugoniot curve up to a density of 7 g cm\(^{-3}\) (14 Mbar) and a temperature of 47,000 K\(^{10}\).

Because of the relevance of LiF for shock physics, it is desirable to have a first-principles EOS derived for much higher temperature and density conditions that span the the condensed matter, warm dense matter, and plasma physics regimes as a reference for shock experiments and hydrodynamic simulations. In recent works, we have developed a first-principles framework to compute coherent EOSs across a wide range of density-temperature regimes relevant to WDM by combining results from state-of-the-art path integral Monte Carlo (PIMC) and DFT-MD methods for first\(^{56}\) and second-row\(^{57}\) elements. In this paper, we apply our PIMC and DFT-MD methods to compute the EOS and plasma properties of LiF across a much larger density-temperature range than has been studied in previous first-principles studies. We also study the evolution of the plasma structure, ionization, and density of states over the WDM regime. And, finally, we compare our PIMC/DFT-MD shock Hugoniot curves with widely-used models and experiments.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II describes the simulation methods and details. Section III provides the internal energy and pressure EOS. Section IV characterizes the plasma structure evolution and ionization processes as a function of temperature and density via pair-correlation functions. Section V analyzes the electronic density of states as a function of LiF density and pressure. Section VI discusses the shock Hugoniot curves, and, finally, Sec. VII summarizes our work.

II. SIMULATION METHODS

Rigorous discussions of the PIMC\(^{58-60}\) and DFT molecular dynamics (DFT-MD)\(^{61-63}\) methods have been provided in previous works, and the details of our simulations have been presented in our previous publications\(^{56,57,64-71}\). Here, we summarize the methods and provide the simulation parameters specific to LiF.

The general idea of our approach is to perform simulations along isochores at high temperatures (\(T \geq 1 \times 10^6\) K)
FIG. 4: LiF excess internal energy, relative to the ideal Fermi gas, computed with PIMC, DFT-MD, and the Debye-Hückel plasma model. The results are plotted for densities of (a) 2.082, (b) 3.651, (c) 7.582, and (d) 15.701 g cm\(^{-3}\) as a function of temperature.

using PIMC and at low temperatures (\(T \leq 1 \times 10^6\) K) using DFT-MD. We show the two methods produce consistent results at overlapping temperature regimes. The PIMC method samples the space of all quantum particle paths to determine the thermal density matrix of the many-body system. PIMC increases in efficiency with temperature (scaling as \(1/T\)) as quantum paths become shorter and more classical in nature. In contrast, DFT-MD becomes increasingly inefficient with increasing temperature as the number of occupied bands increases unfavorably with temperature (scaling roughly as \(\sim T^{3/2}\)). The only uncontrolled approximation in PIMC is the use of the fixed-node approximation, which restricts paths to avoid the well-known fermion sign problem\(^{72}\). We have shown the associated error is small for relevant systems at high enough temperatures\(^{56,58,60}\). The main approximation in DFT-MD is the use of an approximate exchange-correlation (XC) functional, though at temperatures relevant to WDM, error in the XC is small relative to the total energy, which is the important quantity for EOS and Hugoniot simulations\(^{73}\).

PIMC uses a small number of controlled approxima-
using the projector augmented-wave (PAW) method\textsuperscript{75,76}, and a NVT ensemble, regulated with a Nosé thermostat. Exchange-correlation effects are described using the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof\textsuperscript{77} generalized gradient approximation. Electronic wave functions are expanded in a plane-wave basis with an energy cut-off as high as 4000 eV in order to converge total energy. Size convergence tests up to a 64-atom simulation cell at temperatures of 10,000 K and above indicate that internal energies are converged to better than 0.1\% and pressures are converged to better than 0.6\%. We find, at temperatures above 250,000 K, 8-atom supercell results are sufficient for both energy and pressure since the kinetic energy far outweighs the interaction energy at such high temperatures\textsuperscript{67}. The number of bands in each calculation were selected such that orbitals with occupation as low as \(10^{-4}\) were included, which requires up to 7,500 bands in a 24-atom cell at 1\times\textsuperscript{10}^{6} K. All simulations are performed at the \(\Gamma\)-point of the Brillouin zone, which is sufficient for high temperature fluids, converging total energy to better than 0.01\% compared to a grid of k-points.

III. EQUATION OF STATE RESULTS

In this section, we report our combined PIMC and DFT-MD EOS results for the liquid, WDM, and plasma regimes at several densities in the range of 2.082–15.701 g cm\textsuperscript{-3} and temperatures ranging from \(10^{4}\text{–}10^{9}\) K. The full-range of our EOS data is shown in pressure-density space in Fig. 1 and in temperature-pressure space in Fig. 2. These two figures will be discussed more thoroughly in Section VI. The Supplemental Material\textsuperscript{78} provides a table of our full EOS data set. In order to put the PAW-PBE pseudopotential energies on the same scale as all-electron calculations, we shifted all of our VASP DFT-MD energies by -107.061113 Ha/LiF. This shift was determined by performing isolated, all-electron atomic calculations with the OPIUM code\textsuperscript{79} and corresponding isolated-atom calculations using the appropriate pseudopotential in VASP.

In order to analyze the behavior of our EOS data, Figs. 3 and 4 compare pressure and internal energy, respectively, along four isochores from PIMC, DFT-MD, and the classical Debye-Hückel plasma model\textsuperscript{80} as a function of temperature. The pressures, \(P\), and internal energies, \(E\), are plotted relative to a fully ionized Fermi gas of electrons and ions with pressure, \(P_0\), and internal energy, \(E_0\), in order to compare only the excess pressure and internal energy contributions that result from particle interactions. With increasing temperature, the pressure and internal contributions due to interactions gradually decrease from the strongly-interacting condensed matter
FIG. 8: Pair-correlation functions of Li nuclei computed with PIMC simulations of LiF over a wide range of temperatures at densities of (a) 2.082 and (b) 15.701 g cm$^{-3}$ (8-atom simulation cells).

FIG. 9: Pair-correlation functions of F nuclei computed with PIMC simulations of LiF over a wide range of temperatures at densities of (a) 2.082 and (b) 15.701 g cm$^{-3}$ (8-atom simulation cells).

FIG. 10: Pair-correlation functions of Li-F nuclei computed with PIMC simulations of LiF over a wide range of temperatures at densities of (a) 2.082 and (b) 15.701 g cm$^{-3}$ (8-atom simulation cells).

regime, where bound states dominate, to the weakly-interacting, fully-ionized plasma regime, where agreement is found with the Debye-Hückel model. As one expects, the classical Debye-Hückel model is inadequate for temperatures below $8 \times 10^6$ K since it fails to treat bound electronic states. For low $Z$ elements, the range of temperatures over which PIMC is needed to bridge the warm dense matter regime (roughly $1-8 \times 10^6$ K) is relatively small compared to the entire high energy density regime.

The two figures together provide a coherent EOS over wide density-temperature range for LiF due to the fact that PIMC and DFT-MD provide consistent, overlapping results, with a maximum difference of 3% in the pressure and 3.6% ($\sim 1.7$ Ha/LiF) in the internal energy at $1 \times 10^6$ K. Furthermore, this agreement between PIMC and DFT-MD provides validation for the use of zero-temperature exchange correlation functionals in WDM applications and the use of free-particle nodes in PIMC. However, as noted by Karasiev et al., this may only be true when the total energy is large relative to the exchange-correlation energy. Finite-temperature exchange-correlation contributions were predicted to be significant for other properties, such as conductivity at low densities. At lower temperatures, PIMC results become inconsistent with DFT-MD results because the free-particle nodal approximation in PIMC simulations is no longer appropriate.

IV. SHOCK COMPRESSION

Dynamic shock compression experiments allow one to directly measure the equation of state and other physical properties of hot, dense fluids. Such experiments are often used to determine the principal Hugoniot curve, which is the locus of final states that can be obtained
from different shock velocities. Density functional theory has been validated by experiments as an accurate tool for predicting the shock compression of a variety of different materials\cite{81-83}.

During a shock wave experiment, a material whose initial state is characterized by an internal energy, pressure, and volume, \((E_0, P_0, V_0)\), will change to a final state denoted by \((E, P, V)\) while conserving mass, momentum, and energy. This leads to the Rankine-Hugoniot relation\cite{84},

\[
(E - E_0) + \frac{1}{2}(P + P_0)(V - V_0) = 0. \tag{1}
\]

Here, we solve this equation for our computed first-principles EOS data set, which is reported in the Supplemental Material\cite{78}. We obtain a continuous Hugoniot curve by interpolating the EOS data with a rectangular bivariate spline as a function of \(\rho\) and \(T\). We have compared several different spline algorithms and find the differences are negligible given that reasonable choices are made for the isochore densities with respect to Hugoniot features. In order to obtain the principal Hugoniot curve, we used initial conditions based on the energy and pressure of ambient, solid LiF in the B1 phase computed with static DFT \((P_0 = 3.323 \text{ GPa}, E_0 = -107.417375 \text{ Ha/LiF}, V_0 = 16.346636 \text{ Å}^3/\text{LiF}, \rho_0 = 2.635 \text{ g cm}^{-3})\). The resulting Hugoniot curve has been plotted in \(P-\rho\) space in Fig. 1, in \(T-P\) space in Fig. 2, and in \(T-\rho/\rho_0\) space in Fig. 5.

Samples in shock wave experiments may be precompressed inside of a diamond anvil cell before the shock wave is launched in order to reach much higher final densities than are possible with a sample at ambient conditions\cite{85, 86}. This technique allows shock wave experiments to probe a density-temperature regimes consistent with planetary and stellar interiors. Therefore, we repeat our Hugoniot calculation starting with initial densities of 1.3-to 1.3-fold of the ambient density.

Fig. 5 shows the temperature along the Hugoniot curve as a function of the shock-compression ratio for the principal Hugoniot curve and a curve corresponding to 1.3-fold precompression. Consistent with our studies of other elements, we find that an increase in the initial density leads to a slight reduction in the shock compression ratio because particles interact more strongly at higher density. In the high-temperature limit, all curves converge to a compression ratio of 4, which is the value of a non-relativistic, ideal gas. We also show the magnitude of the relativistic correction to the Hugoniot in the high-temperature limit. The shock compression and structure along the Hugoniot is determined by the excitation of internal degrees of freedom, such as dissociation and ionization processes, which increases the compression, and, in addition, the interaction effects, which decrease the compression\cite{87}.

In the structure of the principal Hugoniot curve, we identify a pronounced compression maximum at high temperature and a shoulder at lower temperature, which correspond to the ionization of the K-shell and L-shells in LiF. The lower-temperature shoulder on the principal Hugoniot curve occurs near a compression ratio of \(\rho/\rho_0 = 4.28\) and a temperature of \(9.00 \times 10^6\text{ K}\) \((77.56 \text{ eV})\), which corresponds to the K-shell ionization of lithium and the L-shell ionization of fluorine. The K-shell ionization energies of lithium are 75.64 eV \((8.78 \times 10^5\text{ K})\) and 122.45 eV \((1.42 \times 10^6\text{ K})\) \cite{88}. The 2p state of lithium is already ionized by a temperature of 5.39 eV \((6 \times 10^4\text{ K})\). The L-shell ionization energies of fluorine range from 17.42–185.19 eV \((0.2-2.1 \times 10^6\text{ K})\). The higher-temperature compression maximum at \(\rho/\rho_0 = 4.54(1)\) on the principal Hugoniot curve occurs at temperature of \(4.53 \times 10^6\text{ K}\) \((365.29 \text{ eV})\), which corresponds to the K-shell ionization in fluorine. The K-shell ionization energies of fluorine are 953.89 and 1103.12 eV \((11.1 \text{ and } 12.8 \times 10^6\text{ K})\). This is consistent with the ionization process we observe in Figs. 12 and 13, where charge density around the nuclei is reduced over the range of 1-8×10^6 K. Propagating errors from our equation of state data into the Hugoniot curve shows that the statistical uncertainty in the density is at most 4\% and, the statistical error in the pressure is at most 3\%.

Figure 1 shows the principal and pre-compressed Hugoniot curves in \(P-\rho\) space. Starting from ambient density \((2.635 \text{ g cm}^{-3})\), the compression maximum occurs at a density of 12.113 g cm\(^{-3}\) (4.596-fold compression) and a pressure of 1.988×10^5 GPa. Starting with a precompressed density of 1.3-fold of ambient (3.426...
g cm\(^{-3}\)), the compression maximum occurs at a density of 15.537 g cm\(^{-3}\) (5.897-fold compression) and a pressure of 2.704×10\(^5\) GPa. Alternatively, higher densities can be reached with multi-shock experiments.\(^{89}\)

In both Fig. 1 and Fig. 5, we compare our PIMC principal Hugoniot curve with several, widely-used EOS models, such as SESAME (Table 7271)\(^{4,5}\), LEOS (2240)\(^{6–8}\), and Purgatorio (Lynx 2240)\(^9\). The SESAME and LEOS models are largely based on variations of the Thomas-Fermi model, which treats electrons in an ion-sphere as a non-uniform electron gas, neglecting quantum-mechanical shell structure of the Li and F nuclei. Therefore, we see that, while the SESAME and LEOS Hugoniot curves provide good overall agreement with PIMC in this case, they do not exhibit any compression maximum related to shell structure. On the other hand, the DFT-based, average-atom Purgatorio (Lynx) model does compute the shell structure for an average of multiple ionic states. Thus, Purgatorio predicts the correct ionization features, a shoulder and a well-defined compression maximum, along the principal Hugoniot curve in good agreement with PIMC. However, overall the Purgatorio Hugoniot curve is slightly less compressible than the PIMC prediction. Remarkably, Purgatorio achieves this level of accuracy while being 100-1000× more efficient than Kohn-Sham DFT-MD and PIMC.

We also compare with experimental data in Fig. 1 and Fig. 5, which is available for low temperatures and pressures. At lowest temperatures in Fig. 5, the Hugoniot curves of all models lie slightly above the shock melting measurement by Kormer\(^{13}\). In Fig. 1, all models agree reasonably well with the experimental liquid shock data of Kormer \textit{et al.}\(^{11}\), given there may be slight differences in initial shock conditions. The lowest-pressure experimental data from Hicks \textit{et al.}\(^{12}\) lies about 250 GPa above previous DFT-MD\(^{10}\) and LEOS results, while SESAME and the DFT-MD calculations presented here pass through the lowest pressure data point.

Finally, Fig. 6 compares our LiF Hugoniot curve in \(\rho/\rho_0\) space with our previous first-principles Hugoniot curves for other first- and second-row materials\(^{90}\), He\(^{65}\), C\(^{56,66}\), N\(^{69}\), O\(^{68}\), Ne\(^{67}\), Si\(^{57,70}\). For each Hugoniot curve, DFT-MD data is plotted for \(T < 1 \times 10^6\) K, and PIMC results are plotted for higher temperatures. Each Hugoniot curve exhibits at least one distinct shock-compression maximum corresponding to K or L shell ionization. The maximum compression ratio reached in each case is largely determined by the initial density of the system due to interaction effects. Helium has the lowest initial density and highest maximum compression ratio, while LiF has the highest initial density and lowest maximum compression ratio. The pressure (and temperature) at the compression maximum scales with roughly with the binding energy, \(Z^2\), which means a higher pressure (or temperature) is needed to reach the regime of ionization. Therefore, as a general trend, as \(Z\) increases, the compression peak temperatures increase. In the high-temperature limit, all curves converge to a compression ratio of 4, which is the value of a nonrelativistic, ideal gas.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure6.png}
\caption{Compression maximum and compression peak temperatures as a function of density for LiF.}
\end{figure}

\section{V. PAIR-CORRELATION FUNCTIONS}

In this section, we provide a discussion of the temperature and density dependence of pair-correlation, \(g(r)\), functions and ionization processes in warm dense LiF. The radial pair correlation function is defined as

\[
g(r) = \frac{V}{4\pi r^2 N^2} \left( \sum_{j>i} \delta(r - r_{ij}) \right),
\]

where \(N\) is the total number of particles, \(V\) is the cell volume, and \(r\) is the distance from the \(i\)th reference particle.

Fig. 7 shows Li-Li, Li-F, and F-F pair-correlation curves at a fixed, low temperature of \(2 \times 10^5\) K computed with DFT-MD simulations in 64-atom cells at four densities. We plot the \(g(r)\) functions as a function of \(r/r_s\), where \(r_s\) is the radius of a sphere whose volume is equal to the electron number density, in order to clearly differentiate between correlation- and density-driven changes. The results we find are in a good agreement with trends found by Clérouin \textit{et al.}\(^{10}\), but investigated for a larger density range. As in most fluids, LiF becomes more structured with increasing density. We also note that higher temperatures always result in less structured fluid at each density. Compared to the other \(g(r)\) functions, there is less structure in Li-Li curves, which implies those nuclei interact weakly. By examining the mean square displacements as a function of time, we also find the lithium atoms diffuse much faster due to their lighter mass. The fluorine atoms exhibit increasing strong correlations with density, preserving an ionic fluid structure, as seen in the F-F \(g(r)\) peak. Furthermore, the lithium atoms remain strongly correlated with ionic fluorine structure due to Coulomb interactions, as seen in the Li-F \(g(r)\) peak.

Figures 8, 9, and 10 show ion-ion \(g(r)\) curves for Li-Li, F-F, and Li-F pairs in LiF plasmas, respectively. The \(g(r)\) functions were computed with PIMC at temperatures relevant to WDM for four densities. We first note that \(g(r)\) curves corresponding to heavier ions are systematically found further apart than lighter ions due to stronger Coulomb repulsion and Pauli exclusion from bound electrons. In each case, the atoms are kept farthest apart at low temperatures. As temperature increases, kinetic energy of the nuclei increases, leading to stronger collisions and making it more likely to find them at close range. At the same time, the atoms become increasingly ionized, which gradually reduces the Pauli repulsion, while increasing the ionic Coulomb repulsion. At the highest temperatures, the system approaches the Debye-Hückel limit, behaving like a weakly correlated system of screened Coulomb charges. As density increases, the likelihood of finding two nuclei at close range rises only slightly.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure8.png}
\caption{Ion-ion pair correlation functions at 5.897-fold compression.}
\end{figure}

\section{VI. IONIZATION PROCESSES}

In this section, we provide a discussion of the temperature and density dependence of ionization processes in warm dense LiF.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure9.png}
\caption{Ionization processes at 5.897-fold compression.}
\end{figure}

\section{VII. CONCLUSION}

In this section, we provide a discussion of the temperature and density dependence of ionization processes in warm dense LiF.
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FIG. 12: Number of electrons contained in a sphere of radius, \( r \), around a lithium nucleus in LiF plasma. PIMC data at two densities of (a) 2.082 and (b) 15.701 g cm\(^{-3}\) and four temperatures is compared with the doubly occupied lithium 1s core ground state (8-atom simulation cells).

In order to show that PIMC and DFT-MD predict similar plasma structures, Fig. 11 compares Li-Li, Li-F, and F-F \( g(r) \) curves using both methods at \( 1 \times 10^6 \) K at our highest isochore density of 15.701 g cm\(^{-3}\) in 24-atom simulation cells. The fact that the PIMC and DFT-MD \( g(r) \) curves nearly overlap indicates that both methods predict a consistent ionic plasma structure in addition to a consistent EOS. There are some small differences in the Li-Li \( g(r) \) DFT-MD and PIMC curves likely due to frozen-core pseudopotentials and exchange correlation effects.

Figs. 12 and 13 show the integral of the nucleus-electron pair correlation function, \( N(r) \), for Li-e and F-e in LiF plasma, respectively, as a function of temperature and density. \( N(r) \) represents the average number of electrons within a sphere of radius \( r \) around a given nucleus. \( N(r) \) is given by the formula

\[
N(r) = \left\langle \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{e,j} \theta(r - |\vec{r}_e - \vec{r}_j|) \right\rangle, \tag{3}
\]

where the sum includes all electron-ion pairs and \( \theta \) represents the Heaviside function.

In each figure, we compare our PIMC \( N(r) \) curves with the 1s ground-state of a corresponding isolated Li or F atom to gauge the extent of ionization. It is clear from Fig. 12 that the lithium ion is almost fully ionized for all temperatures and densities considered. While there are some partially bound states remaining in the lithium ions at a temperature of \( 1 \times 10^6 \) K, by \( 8 \times 10^6 \) K the Li atoms have been fully ionized. In contrast, from Fig. 13 it is clear that the higher-Z, fluorine ion still has bound 1s electrons at \( 1 \times 10^6 \) K due to a higher binding energy. As temperature increases, the K-shell of the flu-
orine ions gradually becomes more ionized, causing $N(r)$ to decrease. As density increases, it is apparent that higher temperatures are required to fully ionize the fluorine ion. Thus, we observe that the 1s ionization fraction decreases with density, which indicates that pressure ionization of the fluorine K-shell is absent, as we have observed for other first- and second-row elements in our previous work.\textsuperscript{68,90}

Fig. 14 shows electron-electron pair correlations in LiF plasma for electrons having opposite spins. The functions are multiplied by the mass number density $\rho$, so that the integral under the curves is proportional to the number of electrons. The electrons are most highly correlated at low temperatures, which reflects that multiple electrons occupy bound states around a given nucleus. As temperature increases, electrons are thermally excited, decreasing the correlation among each other. The positive correlation at short distances increases with density, consistent with a lower ionization fraction seen in our $N(r)$ plots.

Fig. 15 shows electron-electron pair correlations in LiF plasma for electrons with parallel spins. The positive correlation at intermediate distances ($r \approx 0.2 \text{ Å}$) reflects that different electrons with parallel spins are bound to a given nucleus. For short separations, electrons strongly repel due to Pauli exclusion and the functions decay to zero. As density increases, the peak at intermediate distances decreases and clearly shows the effect of pressure ionization of the L shell. Pressure ionization is expected for L-shell orbitals because they are much larger than the K-shell orbitals and are therefore subject to Pauli exchange with nearby nuclei. As temperature increases, electrons become less bound, which also causes the correlation to become more like an ideal fluid.

### VI. ELECTRONIC DENSITY OF STATES

In this section, we report DFT-MD results for the electronic density of states (DOS) as a function of temperature and density in the liquid and plasma states of LiF. This analysis provides further insight into the temperature-density evolution of ionization effects and the band gap. All DOS curves were computed with 64-atom simulation cells. At this cell size and temperature range ($1 \times 10^4$–$5 \times 10^5$ K), we found a single k-point provides sufficiently converged DOS results. Smooth curves were obtained by averaging over a MD simulation and applying a Gaussian smearing of 0.5 eV to the band energies. Furthermore, the eigenvalues of each snapshot were shifted so that the Fermi energies, $E_F$, align at zero, and the integral of the occupied DOS is normalized to 1.

Fig. 16 shows the total and occupied DOS at six points along the liquid and plasma shock Hugoniot curve as predicted by DFT-MD (see Section VI). At low temperatures and densities, the general structure is composed
of three peaks below the Fermi energy, representing the atomic 1s, 2s and 2p states. The 1s peak is due to lithium, which is treated with an all-electron pseudopotential, while the fluorine pseudopotential has a frozen 1s core. Depending on the temperature-density conditions, the DOS exhibits a gap or pseudogap followed by a continuous spectrum of conducting states. The lowest density-temperature condition exhibits a gap, which is consistent with the work of Clérouin et al., who showed a gap in the liquid persists along the Hugoniot curve to the melting point over density range of 4.5–6.5 g cm$^{-3}$. The DOS at higher temperature-density conditions exhibits a pseudogap, whose depth generally decreases for increasing temperature-density conditions along the Hugoniot. The DOS peaks broaden and merge at higher temperatures and densities as LiF becomes further ionized. For the highest temperature and density, the total DOS begins to resemble that of an ideal fluid.

Regarding the occupied DOS, the fraction of occupied states lying above the Fermi energy drastically increases as temperature-density conditions increase along the Hugoniot curve. Consistent with our pair-correlation analyses, we attribute the increase in occupation above the Fermi energy to a combination of thermal and pressure ionization. For lithium ions, both L-shell and K-shell states undergo a significant thermal ionization, while for fluorine ions, only the L-shell states are subject to thermal ionization in the temperature range considered for the DOS. The L-shell states in both lithium and fluorine are partially pressure ionized at the highest densities considered here, but 1s states remain bound for the conditions considered in the DOS plot.

Fig. 17 shows a set of DOS curves for a fixed temperatures as a function of density for off-Hugoniot states lying in the low-temperature liquid regime. While all curves shown are found to be liquid in the DFT-MD simulations, we note that any lower temperatures than those shown resulted in a frozen structure using 64-atom simulation cells. In this temperature-density regime, we find that the band gap forms at increasingly higher temperatures with increasing density. Based on our ionic pair correlation analysis in Fig. 7, we find the reason for this trend in the band gap is due to ordering maintained within the fluid due to strong ionic Coulomb interactions. While higher temperatures tend to disorder the fluid, closing the gap, higher densities stabilize an ionic structure that promotes a gap. We also note that, for the low temperatures and high densities, it is clear that the K-shell states are not pressure ionized even at the highest density studied here (15.7 g cm$^{-3}$) and the majority of occupied states still lie below the Fermi energy.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have extended the first-principles EOS of LiF to a much wider temperature-density range than it has ever been studied previously. For the first time, we are able to predict the compression maximum on the principal Hugoniot from first principles. We used PIMC and DFT-MD to construct a coherent EOS that bridges the liquid, WDM, and plasma regimes. We showed that both PIMC and DFT-MD produce consistent EOS data in the range of $5 \times 10^5$–$1 \times 10^6$ K, validating the use of free-particle nodes in PIMC and zero-temperature XC functionals in DFT-MD for warm dense LiF. We then studied pair-correlations of electron and nuclei in LiF liquid and plasmas, revealing an evolving plasma structure and ionization process that is driven by thermal and pressure ionization effects. In addition, we computed the density of states to show how LiF can maintain an open band gap to densities as high as 15 g cm$^{-3}$ due to strong ionic correlations. Finally, we examined the shock compression behavior of LiF and computed a first-principles benchmark of the principal Hugoniot for several pre-compression conditions. We compare our PIMC Hugoniot results with widely used Thomas-
Fermi-based models (SESAME and LEOS), which do not include shell effects, and a DFT-based average-atom Purgatorio model, which agrees well with PIMC, but is slightly stiffer. Overall, we demonstrate that PIMC is an important tool to benchmark the EOS in the WDM regime. Kohn-Sham based DFT simulations are too inefficient to access physics at temperatures corresponding to the core ionization, and more efficient, but approximate models do not necessarily capture all of the complex physics of the WDM regime.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the U. S. Department of Energy, grants DE-SC0010517 and DE-SC0016248. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. This research also used the Janus supercomputer, which is supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. CNS-0821794) at the University of Colorado and the National Center for Atmospheric Research. This research is also part of the Blue Waters sustained-petascale computing project (NSF ACI 1640776), which is supported by the National Science Foundation (awards OCI-0725070 and ACI-1238993) and the state of Illinois. Blue Waters is a joint effort of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and its National Center for Supercomputing Applications. We would like to thank Heather Whitley and Christine Wu for help obtaining the LEOS and Purgatorio[lynx] EOS models. We would like to thank Scott Crockett and Sven Rudin for obtaining the SESAME EOS.

* Electronic address: kdriver@berkeley.edu; URL: http://nilitzer.berkeley.edu/~driver/

P. Debye and E. Huckel, Phys. Z. 24, 185 (1923).